

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

MONDAY, THE 2ND APRIL 2007 / 12TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 17154 of 2006(P)

PETITIONERS:

1. P.C. CHARLEY, PUTHENVEETIL,
ELENTHIKKARA, THRISSUR DISTRICT.
2. V.M. MOHAMED ASEEF, MAMMU MANZIL,
MANNAM P.O., PARAVOOR, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.
3. T.K. PRANJAN, THOTARATH HOUSE,
CHANDRAPANNI, THRISSUR DISTRICT.
4. K. RAVEENDRAN NAIR, ABHAYAM,
KIZHUVALAM P.O., ATTINGAL,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.
5. K.A. JALEEL, KADAVATH HOUSE,
BOUNJA P.O., KASARAGOD.
6. S. DILEEP, RAVATHY, VELLAYAMBALAM,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.
7. P.S. JOHN, POOKKOTTIL,
PUTHENPURAYIL, ELANJI, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.B.SAHASRANAMAN
SRI.K.JAGADEESH
SRI.T.S.HARIKUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

1. BHARATH SANCHAR NIGAM LTD.,
REPRESENTED BY PRINCIPAL GENERAL MANAGER,
THRISSUR TELECOM DISTRICT, THRISSUR.

2. THE DEPUTY GENERAL MANAGER,
BHARATH SANCHAR NIGAM LTD., THRISSUR TELECOM LTD.,
THRISSUR.

3. ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER,
BHARATH SANCHAR NIGAM LTD., THRISSUR
TELECOM LTD., THRISSUR.

BY ADV. SRI.C.S.RAMANATHAN, SC, BSNL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON
02/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

Ext.P1: True copy of letter dated 10.4.2006 by the 1st respondent.

Ext.P2: True copy of specimen copy of the document bill No.VMA-1/2004-05/VGR.

Ext.P3: True copy of specimen copy of the document Bill No.VMA-1/2004-05/VGR

Ext.P4: True copy of consent letter given by the 6th petitioner dated 4.11.2005.

Ext.P5: True copy of the Bid document of the Bharat Sanchar Nigam Ltd.

Ext.P6: True copy of the underground cable construction practices manual of the 1st respondent.

// True copy //

P.A. to Judge
jes

KURIAN JOSEPH, J.

W.P.(C)NO.17154 OF 2006

Dated this the 2nd day of April 2007

JUDGMENT

Petitioners approached this court with certain grievances regarding the rate for the works executed by them for laying the cables. Petitioners are aggrieved by Ext.P1 order passed by the Principal General Manager. Since the petitioners have still any remedy left, it will be open to them to approach the Chief General Manager. It is submitted that the petitioner may be permitted to pursue that remedy before the said authority.

2. Therefore, without expressing anything to the merits of the case, this writ petition is disposed of as follows: In the event of the petitioners approaching the Chief General Manager within a period of one month from today the matter will be duly considered by him with notice to them and appropriate orders in accordance with law will be passed within another three months.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE

jes